


File *Nordheim* 44-1-61

Date 1/5/44



Small

~~W. W. Newson~~
Newson

MUC No.

Copy #

Newsom

RECEIVED
JAN 11 1961
Date

Date _____

Name _____

JAN 19 1964

~~H. W. ELLISON~~

APR 12 1964
CLINTON LABORATORIES
R. W. NEWSON

DECLASSIFIED

Per Letter Instructions Of

HEC L3+ #3

For: H. T. Bray, Supervisor

Laboratory Records Dept.

SRM

This document has been approved for release to the public by:

David R. Hamlin
Technical Information Officer
ORNL Site

11/30/95
Date

[illegible]

January 6, 1944

It is assumed that a carbon reflector is left at the front, but not at the rear end of the pile.

The neutron distribution is then given by

$$n = n_0 \cos \frac{\pi}{h+d} z \quad \text{for } r < r_0 \quad (1a)$$

$$n = n_0 \frac{J_0(\chi r_0) I_0(\chi r) - I_0(\chi r_0) J_0(\chi r)}{J_0(\chi r_0) I_0(\chi r_0) - I_0(\chi r_0) J_0(\chi r_0)} \cos \frac{\pi}{h+d} z \quad \text{for } r > r_0 \quad (1b)$$

where J_0 and I_0 are the cylinder functions of order zero and

$$\chi = \sqrt{1 \Delta 1 - \left(\frac{\pi}{h+d} \right)^2} \quad (2)$$

where Δ is the Laplacian of the normal pile ($\Delta = 104 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2}$)

The radii have to fulfill the relation

$$\frac{J_1(\chi r_0)}{I_1(\chi r_0)} = \frac{I_0(\chi r_0)}{J_0(\chi r_0)} \quad (3)$$

This relation can be used to determine r_0 for any given amount and arrangement of metal. The ratio of average neutron density n_{av} over the maximal density n_0 is given by

$$\frac{n_{av}}{n_0} = \frac{h+d}{\pi r_1^2 h} \left(1 + \cos \frac{\pi d}{h+d} \right) \left[r_0^2 + \frac{2r_1}{\chi} \frac{J_0(\chi r_0) I_1(\chi r_1) - I_0(\chi r_0) J_1(\chi r_1)}{J_0(\chi r_0) I_0(\chi r_0) - I_0(\chi r_0) J_0(\chi r_0)} \right] \quad (4)$$

The following table gives representative results for a number of distributions.

TABLE I

Ratio n_0/n_{av} of maximum to average neutron density for various amounts and arrangements of metal in X pile.

	Present	Minimum loading	Present amount shortened			
Amount of metal in pile (tons)	35.7	27.7	35.7	48	48	78
Number of slugs per channel	65(30)	47	47	65	60	65
Number of stringers in central portion	0	0	48	106	144	339
Total number of stringers	400 (80)	460	591	576	750	992
Ratio n_0/n_{av}	2.6	2.6	2.2	2.15	2.0	1.95
Output compared to present output for same temperature of hottest slug	1	0.75	1.18	1.60	1.75	2.6

January 8, 1944

II. Utilization of Flattening

The figures in the last row of Table I give directly the gain compared to the present loading for the case that the air flow through the channel is kept constant. This would be the case if the unused channels are partially filled with graphite triangles so as to compensate for the difference in air flow by removal of the slugs. We see that an addition of about 12 tons of metal gives a gain of around 80% in this case. A further slight gain would be achieved by shortening the active length of the pile while increasing its radius, which leads to a more favorable shape. The maximum possible gain achieved by filling the whole pile with metal (except for two layers of holes at the outside to provide for a reflector) is shown in the last column of Table I.

In case the unused holes are plugged up, the gain will be somewhat lower than indicated by Table I, since the air flow per channel will be the larger, the smaller the number of channels. An estimate of this reduction can be made with the help of the data in MUC-CMK-147 for the case that the unused plugs are completely sealed and that no leaks for the air flow exist. For a slug temperature of 150° C and an outlet air temperature of 70 to 75° C (inlet at 0° C), the following values are found.

TABLE II

Reduction in Power Output due to Decrease of Air
Flow at Increase of Number of Open Channels

Number of openings	480	575	750	982
Δp across pile ($^{\circ}\text{H}_2\text{O}$)	19	16	13	9
Flow per channel (CFM)	63	58	51	43
Total air flow through pile (CFM)	29000	33500	37000	43000
Reduction compared to present case	1	0.93	0.85	0.71

The reduction will not be quite as large as indicated in Table II, if the plugs do not give a complete seal for the unused tubes or in the presence of leakages.

It is seen from the figures of Tables I and II, that the addition of 12 tons of metal will give a total gain in output between 40 and 50 percent, at the same slug temperatures as at present and without any change in equipment. The slight gains in the ratio η_{av}/η_0 obtained by shortening of the pile are, however, compensated by a reduction in cooling efficiency so that this procedure does not give an improvement.

Messrs. R. L. Dean and
L. Leverett

-4-

January 5, 1944

It should be pointed out that the addition of more metal will not reduce the concentration of product in the pile or overtax the separation plant. At a fixed rate of removal of slugs for product extraction, the additional metal will simply remain in the pile for a longer period and will actually accumulate a higher concentration of product than at present.

III. Poisoning Requirements

The amount of poisoning material per channel is independent of the amount of metal in the pile so that the adding of new metal can be done gradually.

The Laplacian in the central region will be

$$1\Delta 1 \approx \left(\frac{\pi}{h+d}\right)^2 = 18.5 \times 10^{-6}$$

corresponding to a

$$k_0 = 1 + \Delta 1 \approx 1.014$$

The required change in k will be then

$$\frac{\Delta k}{k} = \frac{k - k_0}{k_0} \approx 0.006$$

This change would require approximately 6.7/d gram per cm length of absorbing material with danger coefficient D, i.e. around 5 gm of Iron or 5.7 gm of Thorium or 0.3 gm of Silver per cm length of channel. The most practicable way for producing such an effect would be the insertion of a suitable wire (for instance of beryllium steel) in the channels or the introduction of spacers made of the poisoning material between the Uranium slugs. A third method would be to use uranium slugs which are partially depleted of U^{235} . This method would provide an excellent utilisation of such material in case considerable amounts of it became available.

LWB:s